



US tariffs: the high-stakes games begin

Potential for less open trade and retaliation as surplus-holding countries face a rainy day

By Naomi Fink, Chief Global Strategist
7 April 2025

On 2 April, the US announced a reciprocal tariff policy imposing a universal baseline tariff of 10% on imports from all countries (beginning 5 April) and steeper tariffs for approximately 60 countries, with rates of as high as 50% for large surplus-holding nations. For example, the baseline tariff rate announced for Japan was 24%; for China, this rate was 34% (in addition to its existing 20% tariff), 32% for Taiwan, 20% for the European Union and as much as 46% for Vietnam. The structure of the tariffs appears to have been broadly received by markets as a limp and purposely feeble foray into quasi-empiricism, taking clear aim at trade surplus holders, many of which are geographically located in Asia. These surplus holders, by the same token, are the world's largest reserve holders, with a significant portion held in US dollars. Moreover, these tariffs are separate from, but not additive to, product-specific tariffs on items such as automobiles and steel.

On initial inspection, the announcement appears signal more aggressive than initially priced in by markets, leading to additional stock market sell-offs in equity markets across the globe. However, we had been cognizant of the potential for additional stock volatility in our quarterly outlook (see Global Investment Committee's outlook: regime shift to a more volatile world). Given that the US certainly appears to hold a dominant position in global trade, it might be tempting to conclude that the rest of the trade-dependent world is doomed. However, we maintain that there are opportunities in the current sell-off for those able to withstand interim asset volatility.

A war on comparative advantage means less open trade


Some countries and industries are incontrovertibly more exposed to the initial actions taken by the US in what is now perceived to be a rapidly escalating trade war. For example, China faces significant economic repercussions, with estimates suggesting a decline in GDP growth due to ongoing stagnation in areas beyond exports such as domestic consumption and investment. This would make Beijing's 5% annual GDP growth target difficult to achieve. However, compared with Vietnam, whose whose trade to GDP ratio in 2023 was 166%, or the EU (which was 96%), China's trade to GDP ratio was only 37% according to World Bank data, and it has already made opening moves to support domestic demand growth. The focus remains on retaliatory measures, which almost certainly would damage growth for all sides in the current multi-lateral game, but also on measures that surplus-holding nations may take to shore up domestic demand growth.

Uncertainty over potential outcomes may increase the appeal of retaliation

The reason why the US may be perceived to remain in “game” mode is that the current administration’s muscular measures have also been announced as an invitation for nations targeted by tariffs to propose “phenomenal” offers of new US-specific trade privileges. However, the game is a high-stakes one for the US because, despite Washington’s perceived motivations, there are empirical estimates that the US consumer is likely to be a notable victim of an ensuing trade war.

In the [ADB's analysis](#) of US tariff scenarios at the beginning of 2025, all scenarios resulted in the US achieving its near-term goal of shoring up its exports (Figure 1 of the linked [report](#)). However, both production and real GDP are estimated to decline under all scenarios (Figures 3 and 4). The impact of tariffs varies significantly depending on whether retaliation occurs. All scenarios that feature retaliation are consistent with negative estimates of nominal income, which is particularly problematic when inflation is positive, while those that feature no retaliation show positive outcomes. In terms of payoffs, it still remains far from certain how much the US stands to gain from commencing a trade war. However, it can be said that the US’s largest trade partners know in advance that they can hit US households and voters where it hurts, with the pain intensifying as trade barriers escalate (as illustrated below). Meanwhile, for trade partners, who also stand to suffer if they retaliate, the credibility of their threat lies in the potential that retaliation from multiple countries may hurt the US consumer more than it may hurt any individual retaliating economy, thus creating incentives for the US to back down from its initially more belligerent stance.

Chart 1: Impact on nominal income



CAN = Canada; H = high tariff scenario; JPN = Japan; ROK = Republic of Korea; L = low tariff scenario; MEX = Mexico; PRC = People's Republic of China; R = retaliatory tariff scenario; TAP = Taipei, China; THA = Thailand; US = United States; VIE = Viet Nam.

Source: Authors' calculations using recursive dynamic Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) model, and GTAP Database v.11.

Source: [ADB Briefs No. 332 January 2025](#)


Borrowing from game theory, one of the characteristics of multi-stage games is that strategies only reach equilibrium if they do not involve non-credible threats. In this sense, even though the true payoff for the US's apparent commencement of a trade war is unknown, both the US and its trade partners recognise that the threat of retaliation is credible, which enhances the bargaining positions of trade partners. Whether trade partners actually retaliate or simply threaten to do so to enhance their negotiating leverage, the temptation to draw out the trade hostilities or at least threaten retaliatory tariffs is real. At the same time, the US faces credible threats to the livelihoods of its households, which is one reason why it is a high-stakes strategy.

Higher stakes for the US private sector too

Borrowing once again from game theory, this time in the context of multi-stage games with complete but imperfect information, governments and firms can be seen as different players in the same game. Governments, via tariff strategies, move first, followed by firms, which take tariffs as given and try to maximise their profits in anticipation of their competitors' response to the tariffs. In this case, it is very possible that firms will produce less in aggregate than they would under a zero-tariff (or lower tariff) strategy.

Moreover, it is possible that policymakers may possess confidential information about future tariff policies that firms do not have, making the game reliant on the degree of belief that firms have about future government policy. If firms decide that the risks of being wrong about future government policies outweigh the potential benefits of investing in future capacity, they could withhold investment or hiring, choosing to hold cash until policies become clearer. Such a cautious approach would add an extra high-stakes element to the game, potentially resulting in a slump in US investment. A [Richmond Fed brief](#) highlights that as of Q1 2025, Trade/Tariffs surpassed inflation concerns as the most pressing issues for CFOs.

Chart 2: CFOs' most pressing issues



Source: Richmond Fed, [Tariffs: Estimating the Economic Impact of the 2025 Measures and Proposals](#)

The same analysis points out that the US, for most of its early history, was reliant on tariffs for federal revenue before the introduction of the income tax in 1913. Yet as we point out in [How Japan can safeguard against US tariffs](#), the last major attempt to reintroduce tariffs as a mainstay of federal income, the US Tariff act of 1930, backfired in part due to retaliatory measures taken by global trade partners. CFOs are probably justified in viewing the US tariff strategy not only as high-stakes but also a top problem area for firms' financial decision-making.

Message to surplus holders: that rainy day is here

One of the reasons not to write off the economies of the world's surplus holders—apart from the latent capital inherent in their ability to threaten retaliation—is that their surpluses represent many years of accumulated savings. These savings are often “recycled” into US dollars (USD), therefore providing financing for US consumption. One country's current account surplus is another country's current account deficit, which means that capital flows from surplus holder to deficit holder. We might look at the store of the USD 12.75 trillion in global foreign exchange reserves, of which over 57% are estimated to be denominated in US dollars. This proportion significantly exceeds the representation of the US within global trade. Historically, the US has been an exporter of capital, in turn consuming a surplus of goods and services from the rest of the world. However, the US is now seeking to punish its surplus-holding trade partners by attempting to reduce its consumption of their exports. The message to the rest of the surplus-holding world appears to be that the US has limited interest in continuing its role (and its exorbitant privilege) as the consumer of last resort. This also sends a clear message to surplus holders that the moment has now arrived to shift their many years of reserve-building and insulate their own economies. The world's reserve holders have the capital to do so, though for the moment most of the capital is denominated in US dollars—a currency used for producer inputs such as oil and commodities rather than consumer goods in markets outside the US.

Surplus economies have an incentive to shift their focus from production-focused investments and insulate against shocks. One approach involves using fiscal stimulus to shore up domestic consumption and to keep inflation positive to allow them to inflate away fiscal deficits in the future. Several economies, including China, have the potential to exercise this option. Japan may also bolster consumption by using fiscal policy to smooth the impact of a slump in US demand.

Market impact: headwinds for stocks and dollar too

The impact of a potential global trade war upon stocks should not be underestimated. As we pointed out in End of “lazy” earnings era may bring fresh opportunities for stock pickers, greater uncertainty, higher volatility and reversals of market-supportive policies may not benefit the average firm. However, we also pointed out in the report that the average firm may not be the optimal vehicle for future value, and that stock selection may begin to reap rewards again. Sector-wise, industries that stand to benefit from domestic stimulus measures implemented by surplus-holding countries could potentially outperform others in such a scenario.

So far, many European nations have committed to increasing government spending, with China also keeping some dry powder to respond to US tariffs. Japanese Prime Minister Shigeru Ishiba appears to have reacted with alarm to unexpectedly severe US measures; however, his reaction may be an attempt to consolidate support for fiscal countermeasures to shore up newly recovering domestic demand. So long as the prospect of external shocks remains on the horizon, the Bank of Japan may remain on hold until the effects of such shocks on the Japanese economy become clearer. In the longer term, although reserve-holding nations would be loath to see their dollar holdings slump suddenly in value, re-allocation may already be underway, given the dollar’s slow but steady decline in terms of its share of global reserves reported by the IMF’s Currency Composition of Official Foreign Exchange Reserves (COFER).

Should trade flows slow permanently as a result of trade wars, it is possible that the dollar’s slump could gather momentum as surplus-holding nations may begin tapping into their reserves to bolster domestic demand. This may also mean that US Treasuries, which have held up for now amid the stock market sell-off, could face higher risk premiums over time. This is because foreign reserve holders, plagued by tariffs, may see less reason to import capital from a slower growing US.

Important information: This document is prepared by Nikko Asset Management Co., Ltd. and/or its affiliates (Nikko AM) and is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable laws. This document does not constitute personal investment advice or a personal recommendation and it does not consider in any way the objectives, financial situation or needs of any recipients. All recipients are recommended to consult with their independent tax, financial and legal advisers prior to any investment.

This document is for information purposes only and is not intended to be an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investments or participate in any trading strategy. Moreover, the information in this document will not affect Nikko AM’s investment strategy in any way. The information and opinions in this document have been derived from or reached from sources believed in good faith to be reliable but have not been independently verified. Nikko AM makes no guarantee, representation or warranty, express or implied, and accepts no responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness of this document. No reliance should be placed on any assumptions, forecasts, projections, estimates or prospects contained within this document. This document should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment. Opinions stated in this document may change without notice.

In any investment, past performance is neither an indication nor guarantee of future performance and a loss of capital may occur. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realised. Investors should be able to withstand the loss of any principal investment. The mention of individual securities, sectors, regions or countries within this document does not imply a recommendation to buy or sell.

Nikko AM accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of all or any part of this document, provided that nothing herein excludes or restricts any liability of Nikko AM under applicable regulatory rules or requirements.

All information contained in this document is solely for the attention and use of the intended recipients. Any use beyond that intended by Nikko AM is strictly prohibited.

Japan: The information contained in this document pertaining specifically to the investment products is not directed at persons in Japan nor is it intended for distribution to persons in Japan. Registration Number: Director of the Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments firms) No. 368 Member Associations: The Investment Trusts Association, Japan/Japan Investment Advisers Association.

United Kingdom: This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd, which is authorised and regulated in the United Kingdom by the Financial Conduct Authority (the FCA) (FRN 122084). This document constitutes a financial promotion for the purposes of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (as amended) (FSMA) and the rules of the FCA in the United Kingdom, and is directed at professional clients as defined in the FCA Handbook of Rules and Guidance.

Luxembourg and Germany: This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Luxembourg S.A., which is authorised and regulated in the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (the CSSF) as a management company authorised under Chapter 15 of the Law of 17 December 2010 (No S00000717) and as an alternative investment fund manager according to the Law of 12 July 2013 (No. A00002630).

United States: This document may not be duplicated, quoted, discussed or otherwise shared without prior consent. An offering of any investments, securities or investment advisory services with respect to securities may only be made by receipt of relevant and complete offering documentation and agreements, as applicable. Any offering or distribution of a Fund in the United States may only be conducted via a licensed and registered broker-dealer or a duly qualified entity. Nikko Asset Management Americas, Inc. is a United States Registered Investment Adviser.

Singapore: This document is for information to institutional investors as defined in the Securities and Futures Act (Chapter 289), and intermediaries only. Nikko Asset Management Asia Limited (Co. Reg. No. 198202562H) is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore.

Hong Kong: This document is for information to professional investors as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance, and intermediaries only. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission or any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. Nikko Asset Management Hong Kong Limited is a licensed corporation in Hong Kong.

New Zealand: This document is issued in New Zealand by Nikko Asset Management New Zealand Limited (Company No. 606057, FSP22562). It is for the use of wholesale clients, researchers, licensed financial advisers and their authorised representatives only.

Kingdom of Bahrain: The document has not been approved by the Central Bank of Bahrain which takes no responsibility for its contents. No offer to the public to purchase the Strategy will be made in the Kingdom of Bahrain and this document is intended to be read by the addressee only and must not be passed to, issued to, or shown to the public generally.

Kuwait: This document is not for general circulation to the public in Kuwait. The Strategy has not been licensed for offering in Kuwait by the Kuwaiti Capital Markets Authority or any other relevant Kuwaiti government agency. The offering of the Strategy in Kuwait on the basis a private placement or public offering is, therefore, restricted in accordance with Decree Law No. 7 of 2010 and the bylaws thereto (as amended). No private or public offering of the Strategy is being made in Kuwait, and no agreement relating to the sale of the Strategy will be concluded in Kuwait. No marketing or solicitation or inducement activities are being used to offer or market the Strategy in Kuwait.

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd (Nikko AME), which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (as amended) (FSMA) and the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority (the FCA) in the United Kingdom (the FCA Rules). This document should not be reproduced, redistributed, or sent directly or indirectly to any other party or published in full or in part for any purpose whatsoever without a prior written permission from Nikko AME.

This document does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation and does not consider in any way the suitability or appropriateness of the subject matter for the individual circumstances of any recipient. In providing a person with this document, Nikko AME is not treating that person as a client for the purposes of the FCA Rules other than those relating to financial promotion and that person will not therefore benefit from any protections that would be available to such clients.

Nikko AME and its associates and/or its or their officers, directors or employees may have or have had positions or material interests, may at any time make purchases and/or sales as principal or agent, may provide or have provided corporate finance services to issuers or may provide or have provided significant advice or investment services in any investments referred to in this document or in related investments. Relevant confidential information, if any, known within any company in the Nikko AM group or Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Holdings group and not available to Nikko AME because of regulations or internal procedure is not reflected in this document. The investments mentioned in this document may not be eligible for sale in some states or countries, and they may not be suitable for all types of investors.

Oman: The information contained in this document neither constitutes a public offer of securities in the Sultanate of Oman as contemplated by the Commercial companies law of Oman (Royal decree 4/74) or the Capital Markets Law of Oman (Royal Decree 80/98), nor does it constitute an offer to sell, or the solicitation of any offer to buy non-Omani securities in the Sultanate of Oman as contemplated by Article 139 of the Executive Regulations to the Capital Market law (issued by Decision No. 1/2009). This document is not intended to lead to the conclusion of any contract of whatsoever nature within the territory of the Sultanate of Oman.

Qatar (excluding QFC): The Strategies are only being offered to a limited number of investors who are willing and able to conduct an independent investigation of the risks involved in an investment in such Strategies. The document does not constitute an offer to the public and should not be reproduced, redistributed, or sent directly or indirectly to any other party or published in full or in part for any purpose whatsoever without a prior written permission from Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd (Nikko AME). No transaction will be concluded in your jurisdiction and any inquiries regarding the Strategies should be made to Nikko AME.

United Arab Emirates (excluding DIFC): This document and the information contained herein, do not constitute, and is not intended to constitute, a public offer of securities in the United Arab Emirates and accordingly should not be construed as such. The Strategy is only being offered to a limited number of investors in the UAE who are (a) willing and able to conduct an independent investigation of the risks involved in an investment in such Strategy, and (b) upon their specific request.

The Strategy has not been approved by or licensed or registered with the UAE Central Bank, the Securities and Commodities Authority or any other relevant licensing authorities or governmental agencies in the UAE. This document is for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof).

No transaction will be concluded in the UAE and any inquiries regarding the Strategy should be made to Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd.

Republic of Korea: This document is being provided for general information purposes only, and shall not, and under no circumstances is, to be construed as, an offering of financial investment products or services. Nikko AM is not making any representation with respect to the eligibility of any person to acquire any financial investment product or service. The offering and sale of any financial investment product is subject to the applicable regulations of the Republic of Korea. Any interests in a fund or collective investment scheme shall be sold after such fund is registered under the private placement registration regime in accordance with the applicable regulations of the Republic of Korea, and the offering of such registered fund shall be conducted only through a locally licensed distributor.

Canada: The information provide herein does not constitute any form of financial opinion or investment advice on the part of Nikko AM and it should not be relied on as such. It does not constitute a prospectus, offering memorandum or private placement memorandum in Canada, and may not be used in making any investment decision. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security in Canada. This information is provided for informational and educational use only.